Information Services Board (ISB) Meeting Minutes
John A. Cherberg Building, Hearing Room 4
Olympia, WA
March 13, 1997

Members Present:	*Members Absent:*
Hunter Simpson	Cathy Wolfe
William Anderson	
Terry Bergeson	
Emilio Cantu	
William Finkbeiner	
Tom Fitzsimmons	
Steve Kolodney	
Ed Lazowska	
Mary McQueen	
Marsha Tadano Long	*Others Present:*
Richard Thompson	Todd Sander

Call to Order	Mr. Hunter Simpson called the meeting to order.
Roll Call	Sufficient members were present to allow a quorum.
Introduction of New Board Members	Mr. Simpson introduced new Board members: Dr. Terry Bergeson; Mr. Emilio Cantu; Mr. Tom Fitzsimmons; Ms. Marsha Tadano Long and Mr. Richard Thompson.
Approval of Minutes	The minutes from the November 25,1996 Information Services Board (ISB) meeting were approved.
Election of Chair	Ms. Tadano Long nominated Richard Thompson for the ISB Chair position. He was elected unanimously.
Legislative Update	Mr. Todd Sander, Assistant Director, Strategic Computing and Planning Division (SCPD), DIS, presented an overview of bills that could directly impact the Board. He described Senate Substitute Bill 5311 that reconfigures the ISB membership of 13 to include 5 public sector members and change some of the appointments. House Bill 1965 would add two additional members to the Board, increasing the number to 15, with 4 private sector members. Both bills would add reporting requirements to the Legislature on the status of Information Technology (IT) projects. Senate Bill 5002 and Substitute House Bill 1698 both deal with governance issues of the K-20 network. House Bill 2197 establishes a revolving fund for the K-20 network. House Bills 2237 and 6042

address the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 and deal with telecommunication right of way issues.

LAMP Project Review   Ms. Evelyn Yenson, recently appointed Director of the Department of Licensing (DOL), introduced staff members—Mr. Tom Brewer, new LAMP Project Director, Ms. Denise Movius, Assistant Director, Administrative Services, and Mr. Bob Marlatt, Information Services Manager.

Ms. Yenson described LAMP as a creation of a single relational database for all driver, vehicle and vessel information. LAMP was intended to consolidate information in a "client profile" and allow any changes for one client, for example a name change, to immediately update the client's driver, vehicle and vessel files. It was intended to be more efficient and result in reduced costs and improved service. She said she was not able, with current documentation, to quantify the benefits or savings over the current system.

Ms. Yenson expressed concern by the total cost of LAMP and LITE. In 1992 it was estimated the project cost for all three releases would be $67.5 million. Expenditures for LAMP, LITE and the companion projects through this fiscal year total $53.7 million. Release 1, expected to be ready June 1995, is almost 2 years late. The original project was not properly described or scoped at the very beginning and budget cuts and changes in legislation further delayed and complicated the project. LITE was a critical component and should have been developed and managed as a part of LAMP. Mr. Marlatt stated LITE depends on LAMP.

Ms. Yenson discussed five options for LAMP:

1. Stop LAMP immediately.

2. Have DMR contract to manage LAMP and LITE in one integrated project for a fixed fee. (DMR declined this option.)

3. Have DMR provide increased staffing and coordination, assigning 10 additional staff from their company for a fee of $1.5 million to assist with LITE.

4. Ask a separate third party vendor to complete LAMP and LITE for a fixed fee.

5. Ask a private vendor to redesign an integrated LITE and LAMP for a fixed fee. Reassess and implement Release 2 for vehicles.

Board members requested information on cost analysis comparing LAMP/LITE with the current UNISYS system, including operational costs. Mr. Marlatt explained the operational costs of the new system would be substantially higher because of the processing requirements for a relational database and costs for higher bandwidth on the network. He estimated it would take about $9.1 million to enhance the current system and convert for the Year 2000 date processing. The approximate costs over 10 years for the new system would be about $87.1 million and to keep the existing system about $42 million.

After the Board discussed the options, Dr. Lazowska made a motion to stop LAMP immediately. It was seconded by Senator Finkbeiner. The motion was carried with a show of hands, 5 in favor and 4 opposed.

Mr. Sander inquired if the Board should specify a mechanism for stopping the project. An amendment was made to the original motion. The motion was— "Pursuant to RCW 43.105.41(1)(b), the ISB revokes its approval of LAMP, LITE and all associated companion projects and orders that work stop immediately." The vote was 6 in favor, 3 opposed. Another motion was made to have the Directors of DIS and OFM work with DOL to come back with a report at the next Board meeting to suggest alternatives for a driver's license project. The motion passed.

Remaining Agenda Items   Remaining agenda items were deferred until the next meeting.

New Business   None.

Adjournment   The meeting was adjourned.